

**Keep it Clean Partnership**

**March 3, 2015**

**Steering Committee Meeting Minutes**

**4049 75<sup>th</sup> Street**

**Boulder, CO**

**1:00 - 4:00 p.m.**

**Attendees:**

**Janice Lopitz, KICP Project Coordinator**

**Mick Forrester, City of Lafayette/Public Works**

**Carrie Powers, City of Lafayette/Public Works**

**David Heinrich, Town of Superior/Public Works**

**Erin Dodge, Boulder County Public Health/Water Quality**

**Scott Coulson, Boulder County/Transportation**

**Wendi Palmer, Town of Erie/Public Works**

**Russell Pennington, Town of Erie/Public Works**

**Kathryne Marko, City of Longmont/Public Works and Natural Resources**

**Cal Youngberg, City of Longmont/Public Works and Natural Resources**

**Mary Paterniti, City of Longmont/Public Works and Natural Resources**

**Cameron Fowlkes, City of Louisville/Public Works**

**Justin Elkins, City of Louisville/Public Works**

**Russ Sands, City of Boulder/Public Works/Watershed Sustainability**

**Bret Linenfelser, City of Boulder/Public Works/Water Quality**

**MaryAnn Nason, City of Boulder/Public Works/Water Quality**

**On phone: Pam Milmoie, Boulder County Public Health/PACE**

**Facilitator: Heather Bergman, Peak Facilitation Group**

**I. Approval of Minutes**

**All**

The February Steering Committee minutes will be approved in April.

**II. Coordinator and Partner Updates**

Erosion Control Trainings: March 19- full training and March 26- recertification training.

Janice will give a presentation to a CU Land use planning undergraduate class on March 5.

Water line breaks are to be reported to CDPHE if sediment is discharged to waters of the state.

A recycling firm in Aurora was inspected by CDPHE. Janice will ask for the report and share with the Boulder County Recycling facility.

Urban Drainage and Flood Control has identified potential sponsors and has a bill number for the regional BMP bill.

The nonpoint source program of CDPHE has followed up on a 2007 survey on water quality. Janice Lopitz will forward the survey results. Public health and pet health were indicated as a motivator for protecting water quality. Concern in general for water quality has gone up since 2007. Statewide almost half of the respondents said that, in their

community, storm water drains to a body of water while about one-quarter said it drains to a treatment facility. Of the 16 Boulder County individuals surveyed, 5 thought stormwater was treated. The next step is focus groups.

The 2014 annual report and individual summaries should be finalized by mid-March.

319 grant Watershed Monitoring Plan annual report – communities' data need to be submitted to Jane Clary by March 15.

### **Facilitate discussion on KICP changes**

Russ shared the City of Boulder thoughts on reducing the deliverables of the KICP plan to MCM 1 and 2 (no coordinator and no PACE) efforts. The reductions are based on the draft permit language. He believes his proposal would meet the permit conditions, free up resources, reduce contract sprawl, keep the group together.

Bret Linenfelter shared his thoughts about moving into a watershed group. He cited Regulation 85 and 31 efforts as an example that would benefit from the watershed approach. He indicate that many of the KICPartner communities were involved in multiple efforts and the watershed approach would allow for joint efforts in other areas. The nonprofit structure also appeals to him as he believes it would reduce costs i.e. county charges. He also believes eligibility for grants would increase. He believes less meetings would also be a result. He shared a brochure on the Colorado Monitoring Framework which supports the Colorado Data Sharing Network and discussed membership advantages.

The facilitator posed addressing the following:

#### **1) What do we want to do?**

##### **-Must do?**

Nothing.

Be effective (could be the permit minimum) (but maximum quality)

Should all benefit if we are going to do something

Permit requirements/stay abreast of regulations and permits (nagging)

Interpretation of permit MEP - avoid fines

Good choices

Cost effective (individual vs together) leveraged approach

One stop shop website - central clearinghouse

Coordinated effort

Keep existing infrastructure

Balance and scale and quality

##### **-Would like to do?**

To know if we are effective

Above and beyond - community value (environmental protection)

Higher quality

Flexibility - minimum in some areas, max in others, none in others.

MCM 6 - training, 3rd party perspective

Data repository/coordinated sampling

Watershed identity - awareness (MCM 1 and 2)

Reasonableness

Focus on pollutants that are most important (may not be stormwater related)

How to engage other municipalities – in the non-urbanized area

Watershed group would have to start small (stormwater) then if successful, it could grow.

## 2) How much work is that?

...

## 3) How are we going to pay for it?

Costs - WWE (Watershed Plan and Annual Monitoring Report)- \$30K

Construction and Post Construction Training- \$5-7K

## I need this and this is what I'm willing to pay...

Louisville - MCM 6, construction training- <= pay

Longmont - MCM 1&2, construction training- strategic analysis important <= pay

Superior - as much as possible <= pay

Lafayette - MCM 1/2, classroom programs are important, has questions around proportional payment vs equal

Erie - MCM6, training, MCM 1/2, <= pay

BoCo - MCM 1/2, MCM 3 and 6, construction training <= pay

## 4) How will we structure it?

Watershed group - Water quality focused (regulatory) - subgroups/subcommittees that focus on individual areas - like stormwater (KICP)

Proposals for next steps - \$207,000 roughly for what we talked about (fiscal agent fees not included - 10%)

Janice will give budget scale down with 2015 numbers - proportional and equal.

Erin Dodge was tasked to initiate a discussion with Scott, Janice, Brett, and Mick about watershed group and what that would look like.

## Annual/Budget/Permit Workgroup

Budget will need to be discussed at April's meeting.

2014 Annual report will be distributed in March.

Draft Permit may be released in April.

## Closing/Next Steps

All

## 2015 meetings

DATE	Steering	TOPIC
January 6	2-4	Canceled
February 3	2-4	End of year reports, Invoices
March 3	1-4	Program implementation change discussion
April 7	2-4	2016 Budget /MS4 Permit?/Mini campaign
May 5	2-4	Watershed plan update
June 2	2-4	
July 7	2-4	
August 4	2-4	
September 1	2-4	
October 6	2-4	
November 3	2-4	
December 1	2-4	2016 Budget adoption and contract SOWs.